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| Question | Answer |  | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Image ratio of software set to 16:9 <br> End of video cut <br> Only 8-9 seconds of video remain (diver does not appear) | 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark | 3 |
| 2 | Title background set to 173turtle1.png <br> Title 5 seconds duration <br> Logo placed with transparency <br> Top right of background image and clearly visible | 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark | 4 |
| 3 | Title background full screen with no adjustment/movement <br> Additional 6 seconds duration <br> Title text Help us preserve the wonders of the oceans <br> Bottom left of image and clearly visible <br> Large easily read font with good contrast <br> Effect added for title animation <br> Effect added to give sufficient time to read text within the 6 seconds | 1 mark 1 mark 1 mark 1 mark 1 mark 1 mark 1 mark | 7 |
| 4 | Caption background set to 173turtle2.jpg <br> Appropriate image editing to amend aspect ratio <br> The image fills the full screen. <br> Placed after video <br> Caption frames 7 seconds duration <br> Caption placed to right of turtle in a clearly visible font with good contrast <br> Caption text includes Tawara Wildlife Trust <br> 2nd block includes Gala Dinner <br> 3rd block includes 18th December 2017 <br> Different effect added for caption animation | 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark | 10 |
| 5 | Clip placed as specified Consistent animation used for all elements Soundtracks removed from both clips | 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark | 3 |
| 6 | Snapshot of final frame extracted in appropriate format ...and set as background for credits <br> Credits 7 seconds duration <br> Credits include: <br> Filmed by <br> Location <br> Country <br> Appropriate blank line/s as spacing between credits <br> Candidate name and numbers in credits in appropriate format | 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark | 8 |
| 7 | Movie exported / saved In wmv format | 1 mark 1 mark | 2 |
| 8 | End of clip removed ...cut to 51 seconds | 1 mark 1 mark | 2 |
| 9 | Fade in present ...with appropriate duration for length of sound clip Fade out present ...with appropriate duration for length of sound clip | 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark <br> 1 mark | 4 |


| Question |  |  | Answer |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 10 | Audio clip saved as 173sound2.mp3 | 1 mark | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 11 | Soundtrack added as specified | 1 mark | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 12 | Movie saved in wmv format | 1 mark | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 13 | Export or conversion of file type | 1 mark | $\mathbf{2}$ |
|  | In mp4 format | 1 mark |  |
| 14 | Select 173auction.csv | 1 mark | $\mathbf{2}$ |
|  | Correct text placed in footer in appropriate format | 1 mark |  |
| 15 | Column inserted in correct place | 1 mark | $\mathbf{8}$ |
|  | $\ldots$ with appropriate label in cell C2 | 1 mark |  |
|  | Lookup function used | 1 mark |  |
|  | Cell ref column B | 1 mark |  |
|  | Relative reference (not range) | 1 mark |  |
|  | Range - external file link to 173charity.csv or copied cell range | 1 mark |  |
|  | Absolute refernce | 1 Correct return column 2 with FALSE parameter / sorted data set | 1 mark |


| Question | Answer | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Appropriate counting method 1 mark <br> $\ldots$ which counts only the three charity columns 1 mark <br> 1st filter on counted cells 1 mark <br> 2nd filter on $>\$ 20000$ 1 mark <br> Column headings retained 1 mark <br> Correct 4 records selected 1 mark | 6 |
| 21 | 6 from:  <br> Solution uses multiple spreadsheets to remove duplicate data ... 1 mark <br> $\ldots$ which is more efficient than a single sheet 1 mark <br> To extend the spreadsheet formulae would need to be replicated ... 1 mark <br> ...this would need to be done manually/macro 1 mark <br> Named ranges would offer a more efficient solution than absolute ref 1 mark <br> Staff are likely to be more familiar with spreadsheet software 1 mark <br> Only 1 person can add data at a time 1 mark | 6 |
| 22 | Relational database <br> 4 from: <br> Multiple users can simultaneously edit data <br> Referential integrity can be set but would make little difference to efficiency <br> ...as data is unlikely to require editing/much editing <br> More staff expertise required to use a database than a spreadsheet <br> Normalisation of data can be better applied to database solution <br> Database uses crosstab query rather than pivot table in spreadsheet ...although functionality of both is similar, crosstab is more flexible | 5 |
| 23 | Pie chart 1 mark <br> Appropriate title 1 mark <br> Correct percentages shown 1 mark <br> Segments distinctive in black and white 1 mark <br> Appropriate labels and/or legend with charity name in full 1 mark | 5 |
| 24 | With correct two segments (1 and 2 grouped together) 2 marks <br> $\quad$ Award 1 mark if correct three segments present  <br> Appropriate title 1 mark <br> Correct percentages shown 1 mark <br> Correct values shown 1 mark <br> Appropriate labels and/or legend 1 mark | 6 |
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|  | H | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation |
| 4 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust |
| 5 | AA | Age Assistance |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |

PUBLISHED

|  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | B | 6 | D | E | F | Spreadsheet formatting  <br> Text wrapped so single page wide 1 mark <br> Appropriate title formatting 1 mark <br> Appropriate numeric formatting 1 mark <br> Page layout set to A4 and portrait and 12pt font 1 mark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Auction data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Lot number | Charity | Charity name | Cost of winning bid | Winning <br> bidder <br> number | Winning biddername |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | AA | Age Assistance | \$5,950.00 | 35 | Wexler: Traugott |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust | \$4,490.00 | 10 | Pushing: Pat |  |  |
| 5 | 3 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust | \$2,190.00 | 1 | Gupta: Kratika |  |  |
| 6 | 4 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$4,290.00 | 28 | Schmitt: Gunther |  |  |
| 7 | 5 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust | 9970.00 | 5 | lacobs: Tomas |  |  |
| 8 | 6 | AA | Age Assistance | \$2,460.00 | 24 | Jenkinson: Holly |  |  |
| 9 | 7 | TC | Turtlewe ek Conservation | \$5,020.00 | 16 | Beyer: Frie dhelm |  |  |
| 10 | 6 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$5,600.00 | 33 | Royle: Michael |  |  |
| 11 | 9 | AA | Age Assistance | \$1,610.00 | 22 | Pinoir: Jeremy |  |  |
| 12 | 10 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$700.00 | 10 | Pushing: Pat |  |  |
| 13 | 11 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$590.00 | 8 | Chase: Holly |  |  |
| 14 | 12 | AA | Age Assistance | \$2,650.00 | 10 | Pushing: Pat |  |  |
| 15 | 13 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust | \$2,150.00 | 25 | Lopez: Euge nio |  |  |
| 16 | 14 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust | \$6,130.00 | 24 | Ienkinson: Holly |  |  |
| 17 | 15 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$1,300.00 | 17 | Eaver Evert |  |  |
| 16 | 16 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust | \$4,720.00 | 36 | Lopez: Rafael |  |  |
| 19 | 17 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$2,490.00 | 21 | Fernandez: Toni |  |  |
| 20 | 16 | A | Age Assistance | \$6,390.000 | 25 | Lopez: Eugenio |  |  |
| 21 | 19 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$6,690.00 | 2 | Watson: Didier |  |  |
| 22 | 20 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$1,180.00 | 40 | Platt: Karthile ne |  |  |
| 23 | 21 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust | \$4,680.00 | 6 | Chase: Holly |  |  |
| 24 | 22 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$3,290.00 | 37 | Suarez: Juan |  |  |
| 25 | 23 | AA | Age Assistance | \$6,970.00 | 20 | Miller: Suzanne |  |  |
| 26 | 24 | THT | Tawara Hospital Trust | \$3,460.00 | 25 | Lopez: Euge nio |  |  |
| 27 | 25 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$5,520.00 | 7 | Gad: Siddharth |  |  |
| 28 | 26 | AA | Age Assitance | \$1,420.00 | 6 | Cotterill: Elliot |  |  |
| 29 | 27 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$4,640.00 | 20 | Miller. Suzanne |  |  |
| 30 | 28 | TC | Turtleweek Conservation | \$1,250.00 | 7 | Gad: Sidtharth |  |  |

Auction item winners - last edited by: A Candidse, Z799, 9999



Evidence 7

|  | A | B | $C$ | D | E | F $\ldots$. | 1 mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sum of Cost of winning bid | Column Labels |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Chase: Holly | 13670 | 7160 | 6600 | 27430 | $=C O U N T(B 7: D 7)$ |  |
| 20 | Lopez: Eugenio | 12510 | 7640 | 2480 | 22630 | $=C O U N T(B 20: D 20) ~$ |  |
| 27 | Pinoir: Jeremy | 2550 | 9940 | 14520 | 27010 | $=\mathrm{COUNT}(\mathrm{B} 27: \mathrm{D} 27)$ |  |
| 41 | Wexler: Traugott | 15340 | 4520 | 1640 | 21500 | $=C O U N T(B 41: D 41)$ |  |



Evidence 8

|  | A | B | c | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sum of Cost of winning bid <br> Row Labels | Column Labels | Tawara Hospital Trust |  | Pivot table ex Column headi Correct 4 reco |  |
| 2 |  |  |  | T urtleweek Conservation |  |  |
| 7 | Chase: Holly | \$13,670.00 | \$7,160.00 | \$6,600.00 | \$27,430.00 | 3 |
| 20 | Lopez: Eugenio | \$12,510.00 | \$7,640.00 | \$2,480.00 | \$22,630.00 | 3 |
| 27 | Pinoir: Jeremy | \$2,550.00 | \$9,940.00 | \$14,520.00 | \$27,010.00 | 3 |
| 41 | Wexler: Traugott | \$15,340.00 | \$4,520.00 | \$1,640.00 | \$21,500.00 | 3 |

## Evidence 9

6 from:
Solution uses multiple spreadsheets to remove duplicate data ...
...which is more efficient than a single sheet
To extend the spreadsheet formulae would need to be replicated ...
...this would need to be done manually/macro
Named ranges would offer a more efficient solution than absolute ref
Staff are likely to be more familiar with spreadsheet software
Only 1 person can add data at a time
...although functionality of both is similar, crosstab is more flexible

## 1 mark each <br> Max 6

## Evidence 10

Relational database 1 mark
4 from:
Multiple users can simultaneously edit data
Referential integrity can be set but would make little difference to efficiency
...as data is unlikely to require editing/much editing
More staff expertise required to use a database than a spreadsheet
Normalisation of data can be better applied to database solution
Database uses crosstab query rather than pivot table in spreadsheet
...although functionality of both is similar, crosstab is more flexible

## 1 mark each <br> Max 4

Evidence 11

## PERCENTAGE DONATIONS TO EACH CHARITY



Evidence 12

## NUMBER OF CHARITIES DONATED TO AT THE AUCTION AND NUMBER OF PEOPLE MAKING THE DONATIONS



